



## Why the Peak-Oil Theory is wrong and the oil reserves are practically never ending!



The [Hubbert Theory](#) of the finite nature of natural gas and oil reserves is based on a fundamentally wrong assumption. **The assumption** that the formation of oil and gas in the earth's crust is based on a **bio-chemical conversion process of fossil materials** (former biological detritus of prehistoric flora and fauna) and the arising synthesis of hydrocarbons. By geological [Migration](#) then form methane gas and crude oil. By the notion that this [Migration](#) needs organic source material forces a limitation of the subsequent product.

**This hypothesis (postulated in 1757)** by Russian geoscientist Mikhailo Lomonosov could indeed **NEVER be proven scientifically**, but however, prevailed due to the lack of other explanations and the notion that organic "life" exists only on earth.

With the [oil crisis of 1973](#), however, the oil producers recognized that the to date **over the past 100 years, nearly uniformly stable oil prices** below U.S. \$ 10/Fass (**1972 = 1.80 dollars / barrel of crude oil, 1973/4 = 11.58 U.S. \$ / bbl WTI**) are sellable at far higher prices. This finding was supported further by the Yom Kippur War 1973 and the 1980 first Gulf War (Iraq-Iran). Here, through "artificial" shortage, the [crude oil price](#) had been **quadrupled again and with NO resistance** in less than 10 years .


Such knowledge and the related exponential profit growths as well the power to rule the world had ever since driven the oil companies and governments of Oil Exporting States to exploit the scarcity of oil reserves.

- ~ This includes in particular the forced proclamation of the "peak oil theory" (oil reserves came to an end! Although since the 1970s, proclaimed again and again, all previous announcements about vanishing of oil became evidently refuted. Thus, since 1946 on each ton of crude oil spent, 1.7 t got newly produced) and of course
- ~ The suppression of the true, scientifically proven hundreds of times, abiotic theory of petroleum genesis.

### Excursion into the abiotic origin of oil

What is commonly called crude oil is according to date science not more than the result of material alignment of cosmic masses. Once a star has completed its reaction and fusion process, it cools out from the inside and collapse instantly under the pressure of his own weight. This implosion exerts so much force that the star explodes immediately (become a Super Nova). Thus virtually shoot off its hulls and distributes his material (a.o., primarily hydrogen and carbon, graphen) into space, where it collides with planetary masses or even forms new planetary masses.


Many planetary masses have a significant organic component, hydrocarbon! Just in our own solar system we know at least 10



Most of the primordial carbon remained in the Earth's mantle.

planetary masses, where hydrocarbon is contained. Among is Mercury, Mars or Venus, even moons, such as Saturn's moon "Titan" or the Jupiter moon "Europe".

On "Titan" only liquid fuels were discovered whose reserves amount to more than one hundred times of all oil and gas reserves on earth. Because on "Titan" undoubtedly neither pre-historic rain forests nor dinosaurs existed, whose organic detritus in its fossil materials, could have created the carbon compounds whereof then composed in turn, methane, propane, butane gas and crude oil, is most likely no need to explain.




Any petroleum deposit is comprised of hydrocarbon compounds that is abiotically generated at high pressure and high temperature conditions in deep earth and in absence of any biological molecules because conditions any biological molecule is thoroughly decomposed at a much shallower depth.

### Pretty much terrestrial proof

Yet in 1951 Russia was still an oil-poor country. Today Russia takes No. 1 in the world of all natural gas and oil reserves with its producing fields. And the best part is, more than 160 fields lie, including the largest fields a.o. in the "Dnieper-Donets Basin," where the deposits are embedded in crystalline basement rocks of the "Pre-Cambrian" (formerly Abiotikum, the era of the formation of the Earth approx. 4.5 billion years ago to the "carbon" approx. 540 million years ago).


Flora and fauna evolved then later (approx. 360-300 million years ago) within the "carbon" (after the earth developed a solid crust, and a today's comparable atmosphere was formed) from single-and multi-celled bacteria, which were formed partially in the deep dry rock and the primordial soup of the Pre-Cambrian or amino acids imported by extraterrestrial by asteroid and comet impacts.



### The modern science of abiotic petroleum origin

Oil is a primordial material whose material mixture consisting mainly of hydrocarbons. The hydrocarbons originate at great depths in the upper mantle and lower crust. The rock material, e.g. magmatic and metamorphic rocks (xenoliths of garnet pyroxenites), that are clearly of mantle origin is brought up into the crust and near the earth's surface by Earth's motion and eruption. This also explains the refilling of reservoirs which otherwise would actually have to be exhausted long ago.

The oil formation is a chemical and thermodynamic conversion process, which takes place on its long path from the mantle into the crust and its near surface environment. The deposits are inter alia already in Precambrian basement rocks at depths of more than 10,000 meters.



Transfer channels are especially along the Ring of Fire at active plate boundaries where tectonic plates of the earth's crust react with each other, and in volcanically active zones, which is why there are very often near surface oil deposits to find.

The latter is particularly noteworthy because in places of very different soil conditions (e.g., oceanic or continental crust, and with very different textures thus completely disparate soil qualities), the same material - crude oil - is brought out (One of the circumstances that is not explained in any way within the theory of biotic origin).

*Precambrian crystalline basement, exploration drilling has discovered five reservoirs in the Precambrian crystalline basement rock complex at depths ranging from several meters to 200 meters below the top of the crystalline basement. From such traditionally unusual reservoir rock, oil and gas wells produce from various levels.*




## Why the abiotic origin is denied so vehemently?

After over half a century of scientific research the modern Russian-Ukrainian science of deep, abiotic petroleum origins recognizes that petroleum is a primordial material of mantle origin which has been erupted into the crust of the Earth. In short, and bluntly, petroleum is *not* a “fossil fuel” and has *no* intrinsic connection with fossils or any other biological sediments detritus.

Never in history were made such hard efforts to defeat a new scientific theory. Despite the theory has absolved positively hundreds of scientific proofs, which have been published over the last decades in thousands of articles in serious scientific journals (e.g. Journal of Chemical Physics, Physical Review, or any refereed journal of the American Physical Society, the journal Physical Chemistry or any journal of the American Chemical Society).

In contrary a spontaneous generation of natural petroleum from biological matter at low pressures (“Biological-Origin-of-Petroleum” [BOOP]) has never ever been observed in any laboratory, anywhere. And as well none of such asserted proofs have been published ever in any of the serious journals.

One reason is sure easily to see according the figure below.



And remember, we've seen the Oil price already on \$147,40 per barrel.

- Because then no peak oil theory, and thus no more upcoming shortage can be proclaimed.
- Thus the high oil prices couldn't be longer maintained.
- That would endanger those economies that rely primarily on oil exports.
- It would break the economic power of the established oil companies.
- War would become absurd and strongly negates the arms industry.
- Lack of war threats would reduce the external power and influence of third countries.
- It would lead to a new economic, military and political world order.

Aug, 5th 2012, K.F. Duxen